Previous references.

1887–88
191
(Sep: Rev)

S R File No. 9 of 188
Serial Nos. 16–1

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 16–19

Major Part B. for October 1894.

SUBJECT

Claim of Karuk Thippiah to the Toddy Revenue of the Kalkere Jodi in the Kunigal Taluk.

From The Dy: Commr Tumkur District

Dy. No. 1519/123 No. 1284/23·5·88.

Submitting for orders the papers connected with claim of Jodidar Thipiya of Kalkeri Kunigal Taluk for compensation for the toddy trees of his Village

2. The Dy: Commr Tumkur District

G. R. S. 58 Dy No. 9264/570 No. 563 A/14·3·89

GPB—4,000—4-7-11

Submitting Thippiah of Kalkere C.

ces in his Village and Inquiries in the same may also be held
by the orders of Govt.

To The Ex: Commr. in Mysore &
No. 1038-40/14 of 8.5.89.

Passes orders regarding the Claim of the Jodidars
of Sivangere and Kalkere in the Kunigal Taluk.

From The Deputy Commissioner
Dy No. 57 No. 116 of 19.6.89.

Submitting report with Canarese Progs: regard
-ing the Abkari Revenue of the Sivangere and Kalkere
Jodi Villages.

From The Supdt. of Inam Settlements.
Dy No. 2958/55 No. 11 of 19.7.89.

In furnishing the available information
as regards the Alienation of Abkari Revenue
Alluded to in Govt. Progs: No. 1038-40/14 of 8.5.89
States that further information on the subject will be
duly supplied as soon as all the Inam Registers have
been gone through.

To The Dy: Commr. Tumkur District
No. 698 of 5.12.90.

Forwards for disposal of the Claim
under the new Contract 1890-92 the petition of
Thippiya Jodidar of Kalkere

From. The Dy: Commr. Tumkur district
Dy No. 8500/518 No. 519 of 10.12.1890.

Returns the petition and States that the matter

8 To The Dy: Commissioner Tumkur Dist.
No. 12665/404 Dt 13.2.1891

States that no Tittle deed seems to have been received with No. 152 Dt 12.7.90.

9 From The Dy: Commr. Tumkur Dist:
Dy: No. 1145 No. 678 Dt 7.3.91.
674
States that the tittle deed was submitted with No. 116 Dt 19.6.89 and not with No. 152 as stated. Requests that it may be return

10 From The Jodidar of Kalkere K. Thipiy
No. 125 Dt 4.7.91.

Karanika Thipiya's claim to Toddy Revenue of his Inam Village Kalkere Kunigal Taluk.

11 From The Jodidar of Kalkere Thipiya
No. 894 Dt 3.8.91.

Prays for orders claiming from the Co Contractors due to him on account of Toddy Reven of Kalkere Village.

12 From The Karanik Thipiya
No. 940 Dt 12.10.92.

Prays for the disposal of his case and als wants the Copy of the Inam Register which he sub mitted to the Kunigal Amildar.

13 From The Karanik Thipiya of Kalkere
No. 1513 Dt 15.12.92.

Prays that the Toddy revenue about Rs 450 with interest it due to him from Mudappa & Co. may be ordered to be paid to

14. To The Dy: Commr. Tumkur Dist and the Supdt Inam S
No. 11751-2/RF 142-92 Dt 9.1.93.

Calling for further information on the Claim of Karanik Thippiah and other Jodidars of the Kalkere Villa for the Toddy Revenue of the Village.

15. From The Deputy Commr. Tumkur District
By No 985/1254 No. 73 of 22.7.93.

States that the information will be submitted as soon as the said Thippiya who is Seriously ill, recovers.

16. To The Deputy Commr. Tumkur District.
No. 2317/RF 142-92 of 4.8.93.

Requests to submit the required information early.

17. From The Dy: Commr. Tumkur District
By No 8844/1600 No. 440 of 25.11.93.

Submits further report on the claim of Thippiya and other Godidars of the Kunigal Taluk for the Toddy revenue of the Village.

18. To The Deputy Commr. Tumkur District
No. 12323/RF 142.92 of 26.1.94.

Requests to be informed how he would reconcile his Statement "During the Inam Settlement the Inam department seems to have recognized his Claim on the strength of document B" with that made in the Inam Register of 1870-71 para 3 Col: 20.

19. From The Excise Commr. in Mysore
By No 4578/1274 No. 1607/162 of 2.10.94.

Forwards Copy of Communication together with the original record of enquiry received in regard to the Toddy Revenue of Kalkere Village.

Ordered
N. Narasimha Murthi Rao
clerk.

Commissioner

E. Hayavadana Rao
8-10-94

Memorandum

Correspondence ending with petition No: 894 dated 3d Augt 1891, from Karnik Thippiah of Magadi, praying for the recovery of Toddy Revenue of the Jodi Kulkeré village in the Kunigal Taluk.

Karnik Thippiah, Jodidar of Kulkeré village, requested the late Toddy Contractor Chik Malliah & Co, to take over charge of the date trees belonging to his Jodi village and pay the revenue due to him for this item. The firm* declined on the ground that there were no trees in the village fit for tapping. From the statistics furnished by the Amildar of Kunigal there were 2629 trees fit for tapping and 2723 young ones.

Thippiah urged that the item of Toddy was the principal source of revenue in the Jodi village: that formerly he had opened 2 shops

* Toddy Contractors' No 286 of 19-12-84 to Karnik Thippiah -

Amildar's report No: ~~2603~~ 1346 of 26-3-85.

Petition No: - of February 885 to Dy Commr: Bangalore -

therein, for realizing this revenue but was subsequently prohibited. The Govt. Contractors were working the trees and paying the Podidar a sum of 150 Rs: per annum, & that the late Contractors Papanna & Co. were also paying the same amount.

The Dy. Commr. directed the Amildar to obtain from the Podidar & submit documentary evidence in support of previous payments by Contractors. The Amildar in reply, submitted a stamped document dated 11-3-82 recd. from the Podidar, purporting to be executed by one Silla-ppa Shetty, which runs to the following effect -

Order No. 306 dy 5-8-86

No. 814 dy. 30-12-86.

"I have obtained a sub "Contract from N. Papanna "As toddy supply from Govt. "villages is not enough, I have "rented from you for the 2 "years from April 1882 to March "1884, for Rs: 300, the use of date "trees in your village - I have "this day paid Rs 200 and

—

"the balance will be paid
"to you shortly." The Amildar
added that for 10 or 15 years
previous to Chittrabhanu, the
item was similarly leased
out to Contractors, but
that the Jodidar was not
in possession of accounts or
other documents in proof
thereof.

Dated 28-3-87.

Thereupon, the then Dy
Commr (Mr. Krishna Murthy)
passed an order to the effect
that it did not rest with the
Contractors either to take or
give up the lease of an Inam
village - that the moment
the lease was accepted by
Govt, the Contractor should
by virtue of that acceptance
have the right to enter upon
all the Date topes in an
Inam village, just as the
Contractor had worked Govt
trees and realized the income
therefrom; that in return,
the Contractor was liable to pay
to the Inamdar whatever
amount would be fixed by
the Deputy Commr as compen-
-sation. H.O.H.T. to Dy.

allegation that there were no trees was falsified & the Contractor was therefore liable, even though he had not enjoyed any profit on account of his own omission.— The parties were directed by a notice to appear with all their proofs. Pursuant to this notice the Contractor did not appear, but Thippiah presented himself before the Deputy Commr. and produced an old account shewing the amounts as having been realized during the following years:—

	Rs:
Durmati 1861-62	127-0-0
Dundubhi 1862-63	300-0-0
Rudhirodgari 1863-64	270-0-0
& Rakthakshi 1864-65	115-0-0

and also deposed* to the fact of there having been more than 2600 date trees fit for tapping in his Jodi village: that as Abdari Ameen Ramanna had offered 150 Rs a year on behalf of the ~~their~~ Contractors, he ~~asked~~ prayed that this amount might be assessed as compensation. Upon this the Dy Commr passed the following order

* Deposition before the Dy Comr dated. 10-6-87.

— Do — Do —

order -

" The Contractors have not ap-
" peared and the case is treated
" ex parte. Thippiah has shewn
" that 150 Rs is not an unreason-
" able Compensation, and I direct
" that for 3 years the Contracto-
" Dairy Mudappa Mr do pay
" to Thippiah on account of
" Inam village of Kalkere in
" the Kunigal Taluk Rs: 450/- Th
" amount should be paid within
" one month from this date, fail-
" which, it will be realised recov-
" -ed as Government arrears. Th
" order will be communicated
" to the Contractors."

Petns Dy 3-12-87 & 8-2-88

Chika Mallaiah prayed for a reconsideration of the above orders, on the ground that during the 3 years referred to by the Jodidar, he never had the use of the Toddy trees

Props: No 1038-40/14 Dy 8-5-89.

On a perusal of the above Correspondence, the Dewan ob-served that it was not apparent whether the Jodi village of Kalke was entitled to the Toddy Revenue under the original Sannad or Inam Settlement, nor had the Deputy Commr recorded a

question and the fact that the Jodi village contained a large number of date trees and that the Jodidar was able in some years to get a rent for them from the Toddy Contractors by private arrangement, was no proof of the Jodidar's right to the Abkari Revenue. The Dy Commr was therefore directed to submit a fuller report on the above points and at the same time the Inam Supdt was also requested to report whether in the case of any Jodi & Quit-rent paying villages, the Abkari Revenue, where alienated, was ever deducted from the combined Quit-rent, or whether this item of Revenue was invariably left to be managed by Govt and accounted for separately to the Inamdars concerned, under the orders of the late Chief Commrs No 3697-30 of 8-10-73. The Inam Supdt was further requested to furnish any information in re the cases

to

7

to which the Rules of 8th Oct
873 had been applied by re
- coquiring certain unautho
- rized alienations of Abkar
Revenue for one or two lines
or whether there had been a
general non-application of
these rules as the result of
subsequent discussion or
express orders.

Letter No 116 of 19-6-89.
Paper No 15.

The Tumkur Dt Commr in
reply reported that in the
Inam Settlement Register, it
was recorded that the Toddy
Revenue alluded to in the 2nd
Order of Dewan Purnaiah dated
1803, and on account of which
the Jodi payable to Govt was
increased by 2 Pagodas, was
reserved for the State. But tha
from the unauthenticated cop
of order dated 15th Chaitra Shu
- dha of the year Rudhirôd-gâ
(1803-4) it was stated that
the right to the Toddy Revenu
was granted to the Jodidar
with an additional Jodi of
2 Pagodas imposed on that
account

Letter No 11 of 19-7-89.

The Inam Supdt stated
that the Abkari Revenue, wher

1 1-1

alienated, had not, in the case of any Jodi or Quit-rent paiju village, been deducted from the Combined Quit-rent, but had been left to be managed by Govt and accounted for separately to Inamdars and that no Certificate as laid down in para 2 of Progs: N.3697-of 8-10-73 had been issued by the Inam Supdt. In continuation of the above, the Inam Supdt also submitted a list of whole Inam villages to which the Rules of 8th Oct. 1873 had been applied in re the alienations of Abkari Revenue and with regard to the Sivaneré Jodi village, he submitted that the order of the late Chief Commr N. 12917/303 of 20th March 1877 had only been simply noted on the Inam Register, leaving the matter to be dealt with by the Revenue authorities.

Letter N° 16 of 23-8-89

Submitted.

M.K.
26/7/92.

The Dy. Comr has not recorded a definite finding upon the question of Karnik Thippiah's right to the Toddy revenue of the village, tho' he

was expressly asked to do so by Order No 1038-40/14 dated 8th May 1889 - The Dy. Comr. simply reports, in his letter No 116 & 19th June 1889 - Paper No 15. that the Joddy Revenue was reserved to the State at the Inam Settlement, but that from an unauthenticated copy of an order dated 15th Chaitra Suddha of Rudhi-rodgari 1803-4, produced by Karnik Shippiah, it is clear "that the right of Enjoyment of Joddy revenue was granted to the Jodidar a Jodi of 2 Pagodas being additionally imposed on that account". A translation of the order above referred to is herewith submitted. The original Nirups are not forthcoming -

Only a report was called for (see para 1) and this report seems to be practically equal to "finding"

This is not admissible Evidence. The Inam Settlement is the most recent settlement & I think conclusive.

Inamdars' claims to Joddy revenue are now being investigated afresh under the orders contained in para 5 of Pro: No 1019-29/Cir 4 of the 12th April 1892 - May a fresh

I doubt that there is anything to be gained by a "fresh investigation" - here-suggested - H

investigation and report be ordered in this case also?

MRA
24/8/92

Lett[r] to Councillor (Rev) for orders - with Extract from "Notes by the Dewan" on town in July 1887 is also putting - I have always regarded this as an authentative standing declaration -

MRA
12/8/92

(7) Mr Rep[t] Teacher -

Let them go to the Dewan for orders.
I do not agree with the view taken by the Dewan in his note referred to by the Chief & I think that the Govt are entitled to compensation

MRA
15/10/92

Final Standing Order
Land Revenue
Forests
Engagement
Contract Labour

Revenue order not clearly understood - but it is evident that "injury" must be Net Enjoyment
Contract for Toddy groves

11-12-92

Mr Ramaswamy Mudaliar for the Amended Standing Order - Land Revenue

Mr Ramaswamy Mudaliar is called in and

Orders upon Enclosures on this subject are needed to connect papers.

9/7/88

(General Serial No. 31.)

1888.

OFFICE OF THE 1510 31 MAY 88 123 DEWAN OF MY. ORE.

From Deputy Commissioner Tumkur district.

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 23rd May 1888.

Despatched 28

Received

Enclosures No. 1 & record

General No. } 1888.89.
No. 128

Submitted. Papers of 26-4-88 from Deputy Commissioner Tumkur in Sanad Office have been submitted to Chief Secretary on 25-5-88, Collector

1-6-88

Sanad Office 7/6

SECTION Sep Rev
FILE NO. 70 of 1887-88
SERIAL NO. 1

Original records sent back to Excise Commr for investigation in accordance with Govt Orders No: 2074-83 R.T. 166-92 & 2/8/01

Submits for orders the papers connected with a claim by Jodidar Seppaya of Kalkere, Kunigal Taluk for compensation for the toddy trees of his Village.

Submd

No 1058-40 14

The rules of 1887 & 1892 are to be strictly adhered to. Contractor does not pay the Excise Duty. The amount received by the Contractor is the amount received by the Government. The Contractor is not entitled to any compensation for the loss of toddy trees.

RK 9-6-88

No. 128

(9)

Tumkur District
Dy. Commissioner's Office
Tumkur, 23rd May 1888.

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward the accompanying papers with the enclosed precis to be laid before the Dewan for his orders. —

2. — The matter refers to a claim by Jodidar Sippayya of Kallkere village of the Kunigal Taluk for compensation for the Toddy trees of his village. —

3. — No definite orders seem to have been passed to meet such cases and as from a conversation I have had with the Dewan, I am under the impression that the view I took when passing the orders on this subject, is not correct. I beg that some definite instructions may be issued in the matter. —

4. — I beg to take advantage of this opportunity to invite your

attention

attention to this Office reference No. 67 dated 26th April 1883 in connection with a similar claim set up by the Jodedars of Sivangere of Huddagiri Taloork, to which no reply, seems to have been received up to date. —

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.

Dy Commissioner
Tumkur District.

H.L.S.

Dated 15/3
Put up to Dewan
Dated
Under Sanger & Jenning
Revenue Branch speak.
4/3

The previous refce were laid by Dewan Bahadur on 9/6/88
28/3

(General Serial No. 29.) 4

1888-89.

9264
19 MAR 88
570
DEWAN OF MYSORE

From
The Dy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To (11)

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 14th March 1889.
Despatched
Received

Enclosures No.

SECTION Sepkar
FILE No. Gol 1887-88
SERIAL No. 2

General No.
No. 563 1888-89.

In continuation of this Office Letter No. C-1519/128 of 28th May 1888 has the honor to submit the enclosed petition from Karinka Shippiah, Goudar of Kalkere, Kunigal Taluk, complaining that no compensation has yet been paid for the Toddy trees of this Village, and to request that the same may also be laid before the Dewan for his orders.

Dy Commissioner

5 P.M.

Read:-

Letter No 67 dated 26th April 1883 ~~from the Dy Commr, Tumkur Distt~~ and Letter No 128 dy 23rd May 1888 from the Dy Commr Tumkur district, regarding the claim of the Joshidars of Sivangere in the Maddagiri Taluk and Kalkere in the Kunigal Taluk, for compensation on account of the Todry revenue of their villages -

(12)

Put a print

attended to

Order.

The papers submitted do not show whether the Jodi Village of Kalkere in the Kunigal Taluk is entitled to the Todry Revenue under the Original Sanad or the Inam Settlement; nor ~~is there a definite finding~~ has the Dy Comr recorded a definite finding upon this question. The Dy Comr will accordingly submit a report upon this question, after receiving & considering any documents which the Joshidar may be able to produce and after ref to any records in his own office.

2. It is presumed that in fixing Quit rent on lands from Jodi villages, the Inam Dept made due allowance for admitted claims to the

SECTION Sep Rev
FILE No 28 of 1887-88
SERIAL No 3

Abkarry Revenue - (Vide
Order of Govt. of India 1868
and Subsequent Correspondence)

3. If such deductions had been
made in the case of the Villages
in question, the Jodidars would
have no claim upon the
Govt or its Contractor -

4. ~~of Jaccaroo~~ The fact that
the Jodidar Villages in
question contains a large
No. of Date trees and that
the Jodidars were able in some
years to get a rent for
them from the Toddy Contractors
by private arrangements
is no proof of the Jodidars
right to the ~~Toddy~~ Abkarry Revenue
purposes so called.

3-A. The Collector will be
requested to submit their
report above called for
before the 21st June next
when the General Question

is likely to come before
the Devan for consideration.

4 ~~A~~. The Instr. of Inam
Settlement will be requested
to report whether in
the case of ~~the~~ Jodi & Quit
rent paying Villages the
~~Sabkary~~ Revenue, where alienated,
was ~~invariably~~ ever deducted
from the Combined Quitrent, 15

~~& whether any & what~~
~~objections (if any) were~~
~~made in the Settlement of~~
~~the Inams of the class in~~
~~question~~

5 ~~A~~. In the case of the Sivanigeri
Jodi Villages in the Madrigiri
Taluk, the late Chief Court's
~~Opinion~~ (~~as contained~~ in para
3 of Proc No. 12917/Rev 363
20 March 1877 - p. 74
Revenue Manual 1896)
~~appears to have drawn~~
commented upon the Inam

or whether this Item of
Revenue was invariably
kept to be managed by Govt
& accounted for separately
to the Inamdars concerned
under the orders of the late
Chief Court contained
in Proc. 3697/30
8 Oct. 1873 - paras 1 & 2,
and whether any
Certificates
as directed in para 2 of the said
~~above~~ order have been
issued by the Inam Dept—

Settlement as regards the
Abkary Rev of this Village.
It appears to the Govt that the
Inam Settlement gave
the Date trees to the Proprietor
(that is in the same way
as the right to Date
trees is conceded to
occupants) but reserved
to Govt the Excise Revenue.
Viewed in this light the Original
Settlement was just &
proper, but by para
6 of the Prop. above quoted
this Settlement was revised
in accordance with the
Rules laid down in
Prop. No 3697 / 30 8th Oct. 1873.
The Inam Supt ~~to whom the~~
~~Petitioners of Tumkur~~
will be requested to report

~~the Revision was called~~
~~for by Abkary Revenue~~
~~but has deducted from~~
~~the Quit rent in the~~
~~Case of the Village~~

What effect, if any, has been given to the ~~decision~~ Settlement revised as above ~~stated~~ of

6. ~~8~~. The Karnam Supt will also be requested to furnish any information in his office regarding the Cases in which the applications of the Rules of 8th Oct 1893 have been applied by certain unauthorized alienations of Abkary Revenue for one or two lives, or whether there has been a general non-application of these rules as the result of subsequent decisions or express orders—

. The Dept Conr of Fisheries will be requested to report (with refce to his Mem No. 67 of 27 April 1893) what local

10

Effect, if any, has been given to the settlement ordered as regards the abkary Revenue of the Sivanagar post village in para 6 of C Cs Prop. of 20 March 1897 Rev. Manual p. 74 -

-/. 8/5/97

Print

To. The Supdt of Inam Settlement.
The Dy. Commr. Tumkur Distt with prop.
Copy to Excise Commr. of Mysore

Proceedings of the Government of Mysor

(27)

Read: Letter No 67 dated 26th April 1883 and letter No 128 dated 23d May 1888 from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, regarding the claim of the Jodidars of Sivangere in the Maddagiri Taluq and in the Kunigal Taluq for Kalkere in the Kunigal Taluq for Compensation on account of the Toddy Revenue of their villages.

Order thereon: No $\frac{1038-40}{14}$ Bangalore 8th May 1889.

The papers submitted do not shew whether the Jodi village of Kalkere in the Kunigal Taluk is entitled to the Toddy Revenue under the original Sannad or the Inam Settlement, nor has the Deputy Commissioner recorded a definite finding upon this question. The Deputy Commissioner will accordingly submit a report upon this question, after receiving and considering any documents which the Jodidar may be able to

produce, and after referring to any records in his own office.

2. The fact that the Jodi village in question contains a large number of date trees and that the Jodidar was able in some years to get rent for them from the Toddy Contractor by private arrangement, is no proof of the Jodidars' right to the Abkari Revenue properly so called.

3. The Deputy Commissioners will be requested to submit the report above called for before the 21st June next when the general question is likely to come before the Dewan for consideration.

4. The Superintendent of Inam Settlement will be requested to report whether in the case of any Jodi & quit-rent paying villages, the abkari revenue, where alienated, was ever deducted from the combined quitrent, or whether this item

of Revenue was invariably left to be managed by Government and accounted for separately to the Inamdars concerned under the orders of the late Chief Commissioner contained in Proceedings No 3697 — 30 dated 8th October 1873 paras 1 & 2, and whether any Certificates as directed in para 2 of the said order have been issued by the Inam Department.

5. In the case of the Swamigere Jodi Village in the Maddigiri Taluk, the late Chief Commissioner (in para 3 of Proceedings No 12917 Rev 363 dated 20th March 1877 - p 74 Revenue Manual 1886) commented upon the Inam Settlement as regards the Abkari Revenue of this village. It appears to the Government that the Inam Settlement gave the date trees to the Jodidar (in the same way as the right to date trees is conceded to

occupants), but reserved to Government the Excise Revenue. Viewed in this light the original Settlement was just & proper, but by para 6 of the Proceedings above quoted this Settlement was revised in accordance with the Rules laid down in Proceedings No $\frac{3697}{R.30}$ of 8th October 1873. The Inam Superintendent will be requested to report what effect, if any, has been given to the Settlement revised as above.

6. The Inam Superintendent will also be requested to furnish any information in his office regarding the cases to which the Rules of 8th October 1873 have been applied by recognizing certain unauthorized alienations of Abkari Revenue for one or two lives or whether there has been a general non-application

of these rules as the result of subsequent discussion or express orders.

7. The Deputy Commissioner of Tumkur will be requested to report (with reference to his Office No 67 dated 27th April 1883) what local effect, if any, has been given to the Settlement ordered as regards the Abkari Revenue of the Sivanagere Jodi village in para 6 of Chief Commissioner's Proceedings dated 20th March 1877 Revenue Manual p 74.

R. Vijayendra Row
Chief Secretary.

To The Superintendent
Inam Settlement

" Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District
with Proceedings.

Copy to the Excise Commissioner,
Mysore.

1889
Rev.
161

Dated 8 May 89

Pres
for [illegible] 8th

No 1038-40
14



Deccan's Chief
8 Queen

Regarding the claim
of the Jowdars of Sivangere
in the Maddagiri Taluk
and Kalkere in the Hungal
Taluk

16

(12)

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, (Revenue),—dated 8th May 1889.

READ THE FOLLOWING PAPER:—

Letter No. 67, dated 26th April 1883, and letter No. 128, dated 23rd May 1888, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, regarding the claim of the Jodidars of Sivangere in the Maddagiri Taluk and Kalkere in the Kunigal Taluk for compensation on account of the toddy revenue of their villages.

No. 1038-40—14, DATED 8TH MAY 1889.

ORDER THEREON.—The papers submitted do not show whether the Jodi village of Kalkere in the Kunigal Taluk is entitled to the toddy revenue under the original Sannad or the Inam Settlement, nor has the Deputy Commissioner recorded a definite finding upon this question. The Deputy Commissioner will accordingly submit a report upon this question, after receiving and considering any documents which the jodidar may be able to produce, and after referring to any records in his own office.

2. The fact that the jodi village in question contains a large number of date trees and that the jodidar was able in some years to get a *rent* for them from the Toddy Contractor by private arrangement, is no proof of the jodidar's right to the Abkari *Revenue* properly so called.

3. The Deputy Commissioner will be requested to submit the report above called for before the 21st June next when the general question is likely to come before the Dewan for consideration.

4. The Superintendent of Inam Settlement will be requested to report whether, in the case of any jodi and quit-rent paying villages, the Abkari Revenue, where alienated, was ever deducted from the combined quit-rent, or whether this item of revenue was invariably left to be managed by Government and accounted for separately to the Inamdars concerned under the orders of the late Chief Commissioner contained in Proceedings No. 3697—30, dated 8th October 1873, paras 1 and 2, and whether any certificates as directed in para 2 of the said order have been issued by the Inam Department.

5. In the case of the Sivangere Jodi village in the Maddagiri Taluk, the late Chief Commissioner (in para 3 of Proceedings No. 12917—Rev. 363, dated 20th March 1877, page 74, Revenue Manual, 1886) commented upon the Inam Settlement as regards the Abkari Revenue of this village. It appears to the Government that the Inam Settlement gave the date trees to the Jodidar (in the same way as the right to date trees is conceded to occupants), but reserved to Government the Excise Revenue. Viewed in this light the original settlement was just and proper, but by para 6 of the Proceedings above quoted this settlement was revised in accordance with the rules laid down in Proceedings No. 3697—R. 30 of 8th October 1873. The Inam Superintendent will be requested to report what effect, if any, has been given to the settlement revised as above.

6. The Inam Superintendent will also be requested to furnish any information in his office regarding the cases to which the rules of 8th October 1873 have been applied by recognizing certain unauthorized alienations of Abkari Revenue for one or two lives or whether there has been a general non-application of these rules as the result of subsequent discussion or express orders.

7. The Deputy Commissioner of Tumkur will be requested to report (with reference to his office No. 67, dated 27th April 1883,) what local effect, if any, has been given to the settlement ordered as regards the Abkari Revenue of the Sivangere Jodi village in para 6 of Chief Commissioner's Proceedings, dated 20th March 1877, Revenue Manual, page 74.

R. VIJAYINDRA RAO,
Chief Secretary.

To—The Superintendent, Inam Settlement.
The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, with Proceedings.
Copy to the Excise Commissioner, Mysore.

Camp Reg. No 57

21-6-89

(General Serial No. 29.)

1889. 17

From The Dy Com.r Shimoga

To (15)

The Chief Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Government of Mysore.

Dated 19th June 1889.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 2 & Cam. Pro.

General No. 116 1889-90.
No.

SECTION Sep Rev

FILE No. 20 of 1887-88

SERIAL No. 4

Submits report cam. with Proceedings regarding the Abkari Revenue of the Swangere & Kallegere & Kallegere villages.

I send this in one of the cases posted to-morrow Tuesday: and this Commissioner Rg. 21/6

The Title Deed of Swangere village received with this letter has been returned to the Dy Com.r of Shimoga as requested in her letter No 1108 of the 26th April 1892 and a Proclamation enquiring into the title of the Inamdar to Doddy Rev. ordered in accordance with order cont Pro No 1019-4 dt 12th April 92 Mysore 9/6/92.

No 116
Y & Can. Pts.

Office of the Commissioner
Tumkur District
Dated Tumkur 19th June 1889.

(15)

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore
Camp Mysore

Sir,

Adverting to the orders of the Dewan conveyed in Pro. No. 1038-40 of 44 8th May 1889. I have the honor to report with regard to the Jodi Village of Kalkere in the Kunjal Taluk, that it appears from the Inam settlement register, copy of which is filed in the accompanying Vernacular record, that the Jodi by revenue which is alluded to in the 2d Order of Dewan Purnia dated 1803, and on account of which the Jodi is payable to Government was increased by 2 Pagodas, was reserved for the State Vide para 3 of the Inam Commissioners' order in Column 20 — But Ramiah Shippiah, the Jodidar, has produced an unauthenticated copy of an order, filed in the record, dated 15th day of Chitra Sudda of the year Rudhirodgari 1803-4, wherein it is clearly stated that the right of enjoyment of Jodi revenue was granted to the Jodidar, a Jodi of 2 Pagodas being additionally imposed on that account.

2. Regarding the Turinagere Village

Village allowed to remain, I beg to enclose herein Maddagire Amildar's report No: 87 of 18th instant with all its enclosures, just received, from which it will be seen that the Jodish has been receiving from the Toddy Contractors a sum of Rs 20/ per month for some years. The original Title deed (to be obligingly returned) received from the Divan Superintendant together with copy of Letter from the latter, are also herewith submitted.

3. The Amildar has not furnished information regarding the fixed and second life payments, and he has therefore been asked to give exact particulars on the practice now existing. This information will be submitted immediately on receipt.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most Obt. Servt

[signature]

Collector &
Principal Asst

E.A.C.

No. 79
ex: 2

20

Inam Superintendent's Office
Bangalore 14th Decr 1888.

(15a)

To,
The Dy Commissioner
Tumkur District.

Sir,

In returning the papers received with your letter No. 852/2 of the 6th Instant, I have the honor to state that the holders of the Jodi Sivanagere village in question have a right to the Toddy Revenue in full during the lifetime of the incumbents entered in the accompanying Title-Deed, and one-half of the amount should be continued to the 2nd life also as per the late Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No. 12917-Rev. 363 dated 20th March 1877, and to add that a statement for the whole district will be sent shortly.

I have &c

(Signed) J. P. Grant
Supdt of Inam
Settlement.

(Copy)

Dy Commissioner.

Gbk.

21

(17)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
2958
20 JUL 89
55

1889

From

The Supt^t of Inam Settlt:

To,

The Chief Secretary
to the Government
of Mysore

Dated 19th
Recd 20th July

Enclosure No

No 11 of 1889-90

SECTION Sep Rev
FILE No. 94 1887-88
SERIAL No. 5

In furnishing the available information as regards the alienation of Abkari Revenue, &c., alluded to in the Govt Pro: No 1038-40/14 of 8th May 1889, begs to state that further information on the subject will be duly supplied as soon as all the Inam Regrs: have been gone through.

---

22 (17)

No. 11. Inam Supt's Office.
Bangalore d/ 14th July 1889.

To,

The Chief Secretary
to the Government
of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your Docket Camp el No. 147 d/ 10th June 1889, I have the honor to state that I regret the delay which has occurred, and which has been due to the necessity of going through all the Registers in order to ascertain how many cases there have been of unauthorized alienations of Abkari revenue recognized for one or two lives. There have, I believe, been cases so settled.

As regards the information called for in Para 4 of Government Proceedings el No. 1038-40/14 d/ 8th may 1889, it may safely be stated that the Abkari revenue, where alienated, has

has not, in the case of any Jodi
or quit-rent paying Village, been
deducted from the Combined quit-
rent, but has been left to be managed
by Government, and accounted for
separately to the Zamindars.

No certificates, as directed in
Para 2 of Proceedings of No. 3697-30
of 8th October 1873 have, I believe,
been issued by the Main Depart-
-ment.

Supplementary information
to the above will be submitted
as soon as the Registers have all
been looked through.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedt. Servt.

Supt. of Main Settle-
-ments.

DS.

19

Rev. 698 27 Rev 5/12/90

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

(20)

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 1958
dated 8th November received at the
Dewan's Office from Shippiah,
Joddar Kalkeri village
of the Kunigal Taluk,
residing at Magadi,

SECTION Rev Rev
FILE NO. Rev 1887-88 / 1
SERIAL NO. 6

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures,~~ to the Dy. Commr.,
Tumkur District,
for disposal of the
claim under the new
Contract (1890-92).

Drafts as per
ch. Secy's order

By Order,

Bangalore, 24th June 1890.

for Chief Secretary.

(General Serial No. 30). 30

1890

OFFICE
8500
13 DEC 90
578
DEWAN'S OFFICE

From The Dy Commissioner
Tinnevelly District

To The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Fort St George.

Dated 10th December 1890.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No.
No. 579 1890:91.

His Official Memo: No. 698
dated 24th November 1890 for-
warding for disposal a
petition from Shippiah
Jodidar of Kalkere in the
Kunigal Taluk about
the toddy of his village

In returning the petition
received with the above, I
the honor to state that the
matter is before the Govern-
ment, vide Correspondence
ending with this office dock
No. 152 dated 12th July 1889.

Dy Commissioner
Tinnevelly District

Submitted
19/12/90 AR

Sep Rev
Of 1887-88
SERIAL No. 7

Revenue Dept
21
18/12/90

Read letter from the petitioner
praying Government to
declare whether he
should be precluded from recovering
this claim among
with does of the
present contractors
: the grounds claim
of the late Contractors
have formed the subject
of grounds urged
Submitted for Chief Secy's orders
on 1-1-90 with (Cur No 3977)
B/G 1-1-90

Request to furnish
with grounds claimed
Drawn 2nd Jan
Tanjore Toddy papers
Letter 19/5

Petition
A 207 A8
21-5-91 19-12-90

8/12/90

(General Serial No. 30). 31

189 /

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

The Deputy Commissioner Dist

SECTION Sep Rev
FILE No. Rf 1887-88
SERIAL No. 8

Dated 13th Febry 1891
Despatched 16"
Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 12665
No. 404

S R No. 25 of 1891-92
Serial No. 24.

His docket No 233 dated 31st July 1890.

Sir I reply has the honor to state that no title Deed ~~was~~ accompanied received with his No 15 of the 12th July 1890.

By order

Chief Secretary

13/2

(General Serial No. 30). 32

1891.

From Deputy Commissioner
Sirsi

To Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

OFFICE OF THE
12 MAR. 91
ASST. COMMR. OF MYSORE

Dated Sirsi 7th March
1891.

Despatched 9

Received 12

SECTION Sep Rev
FILE No. 9 of 1887-88
SERIAL No. 9

Enclosures No.

General No.
No. 678

Mysore Clerk
Cs
12/8/91

His Docket No 1265/404 of
the 13th ultimo stating
that no title deed
was received with
this Office No 152 of
the 12th July 1890.

Has the honor to
state that the title
deed in question was
submitted with this
Office letter No 116 of the
19th June 1889 and
not with No 152 as
stated in this Office
No 233 of the 31st July
1890.

1890. Requests there
- fore that it may
kindly returned.

Deputy Commissioner -

Eo
92

33

Eo.
1257
Regd



(24)

To

The Dewan to His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore
Mysore

Honoured Sir

I the undersigned most respectfully beg leave to lay the following few lines for your kind consideration and favourable order.

A Sum of Rs 450, being the arrears of the Tody Khist to the Village of Kulkere in the Kunigal Taluk, has been due to me by the contractors Messers Davee Buddappa and bo. for the years Dharana, Parthiva, and Vyaya - Where I requested the Deputy Commissioner of Tumkur District to issue the necessary order for the recovery of the said Sum from the contractors, he was so good enough, after enquiring into the matter and carefully going through the whole records, that the arrears should be recovered and paid to me. Tis then

this order was not coming into force, I again requested the Deputy Commissioner to issue Stringent orders for the recovery of the Said Sum. The Deputy Commissioner was then pleased to report the matter for your honour's disposal and orders, In reply to the above, your honour was only request the Deputy Commissioner to Submit the records and other documents claiming the Sody amount, for your favourable perusal. Accordingly I handed over the original papers to the amildar of Kunigal Taluk on the 19th June 1889, and awaited for your honour's final orders on the Subject upto a year. and was not fortunate enough to get any reply or order from your honour.

Since then I Submitted Several applications to your honour as well as the Deputy Commissioner for the Speedy disposal of my case, but in vain.

Under the above circumstances I once

more, most respectfully beg leave to
appeal to your honour to issue the
necessary orders to the Deputy Commissr
of Tumkur District for the recovery
of the amount as early as possible
from the contractors, and the pay-
ment of the said Seeru should be made
to me and thus relieve me from the
difficulties and the troubles I am subjecte
to from a long time. For which act of
kindness I shall ever pray for your hon.
long life and prosperity.

I beg to remain
Honored Sir
your most obedient and humble
Servant
[illegible]

4th July 1891.

No. 894
Regd

Dated 7/9/91

To,

Sub:-

Ref:-

Sir,

Yours faithfully,

391 (25)

(General Serial No. 32.)

189/.

Submitted
28/8/91 AE

No. 894

Name of Petitioner. Karanth

Village. Magadi

Taluk. Do

District. Bangalore

Date of Arzi. 3-8-91

Date of Receipt. Do

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

Section Septr
File No. 20 of 1887-88
Serial No. 11

ABSTRACT.

Prays that early or
be passed on his pe
petitions claiming c
from the Toddy Con
due to him for a per
3 years on accou
Toddy Revenue of the
village of Kallakere
by him —

40

(General Serial No. 32.)

1892

925-7
894

26

No. 940

The file had to be sent to Revenue Board — 15/11

Name of Petitioner. Karnik Eppiah

SECTION Sep Rev

FILE No. 20 of 1887-88

SER'AL No. 12

Village Mavesa

Taluk. Goubi

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi. 12 — 10 — 92

Date of Receipt. 14 — 10 — 92

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil

ABSTRACT.

Tumkur Dy. Comm's order dated 10 June 1887 for recn from Toddy Contractors Dargis Muddappa &c the amount due on the pett for the Toddy Kallu in the Kunigal Taluq & part. The pett has not yet been carried out. The Toddy Contractors owe the pett Rs 450 for 3 years Tarawa Parliva. &c. The recds are in the Dewans Office. The petitioners several petns have since received no attention. Prays for early disposal of this case.

Re Branch
for necessary
attention
BO
yr
25/10/92

Recd 27/10

References were submitted to the Dewan Bhadur vide file no 142 on 18/10/92

2/11/92

Submitted to the Chief Secretary to Govt
BO
yr

Mr Ramakrishna
Please submit draft as per reference made by 1/11/92
2/11/92

Recd 2/11/92
Draft submitted for approval
2/11/92

Mysore Govt. Central Press Bangalore—7-9-92,—1000.

41

The petitioner also humbly
wants the copy of the
Main Register which
he called the Municipal
Amildar, for his research
in the for producing in
the Salary Certificate. & asks
for the early return
thereof.

P. Vdt
20/10/92

42

(26)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REG'D. NO. 940 R
DATE 14-10-92

(General Serial No. 32.)

1892

44 (291)

No. 1513.

Name of Petitioner. Karneeka Thippia

Village. Nagadi

Taluk. Nagadi

District. District

Date of Arzi. 15-12-92

Date of Receipt. 16-12-92

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil.

SECTION Sep Rev

FILE No. 29 1887-88

SERIAL No. 13

ABSTRACT.

Reminder.

Prays that the Toddy revenue amounting to about Rs 450 together with interest on it of Kallihal Inam village due to him from Muddappa & Co. Toddy Contractors may be ordered to be paid to him at an early date.

KCK

Mysore Govt. Central Press Bangalore—7-9-92,—1000.

45

(27)

Karnik Nikhilrao
Claim for Loss
Mr. Nihal Singh

[illegible]

47 1892-93

Revenue

Proceedings

No. 11751-2
R. 7. 142-92
Dated 9th January 193

SECTION Rev Dep
FILE No. 20/1887-88
SERIAL No. 14

Calling for further information on the subject of the claim of the Karnik Thippaiya & others Joodidars of the Kalkere village in the Kunigal Taluk for the Toddy Revenue of the village.

Please send proof
Printed copies
{ due on the 21st

16/1/93

Urgent

MYSORE GOVERNMENT PRESS
2467

Devan's office
120 copies

48

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore:

(28)

Read:

Government Proceedings dated 8th May 1889, No 1038-40/14-14 regarding the claim of the Jodi Kalkere village in the Kunigal Taluk for Joddy revenue.

2. Letter dated 19th June 1889 No: 116, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur

3. Letter dated 19th July 1889, No 11, from the Superintendent of Inam Settlements.

4. Petition dated 5th December 1889, No: 1914, from Karnek Tippayya, one of the Jodidars of the village.

Order thereon: No: 11751-2/R.T.142-9

Dated Bangalore 9/14 January 93:

Karnik Tippayya, one of the Jodidars of the Kalkere village in the Kunigal Taluk

Press Copy.

Chief Secretary.

Copd compd. P.S.

Taluk, claims compensation to the amount of Rs 4 50 from the late Contractors Davey Muddappa & Co. on account of the Toddy Revenue of the village for the period of 3 ~~yeears~~ years from 1884-85 to 1886-87 inclusive, at the rate of Rs 150 per annum, as ordered by the Deputy Commissioner (Mr Krishna Murti) in 1887.

2. The important question involved in this case is whether the Jodi village is entitled to the Toddy Revenue under the original Sanad or the Inam Settlement. In para 1 of the Government Proceedings dated 8th May 1889, No. 1038-40, the Deputy Commissioner was requested to report on this question. He refers to the Inam Settlement

Settlement which reserved
the Abkari revenue for the
State (vide para 3, col. 20 of
the Inam Register of 1870-71).
and to an unauthenticated
Copy of an order dated 15th
Moon's increase. of Chaitra of the year Rudhi-
-rodgari (1803-4) from the
Amildar of Huliyurdurga
to the Mahajans of the Kal-
-kere Agrahar, imposing
an additional Jodi of 2
Pagodas for the enjoyment
of the Toddy revenue. The
original order has not
been produced and the
unauthenticated copy is
inadmissible in evidence.
The validity of the Jodidar
right to the Toddy revenue
has not been proved by
the production of trustworthy
documentary evidence.
But if the Inamdar has
enjoyed

enjoyed the right for a very long time though unauthorizedly. The analogy of the Land Inam Rules as regards enjoyment without Sanad maybe followed and a Settlement effected as a Special case accordingly. For this, — however, very clear proof of enjoyment is required, and the enjoyment should be not merely of a rent from the Toddy groves but also of the Excise Revenue from Toddy Sales. As will be — apparent from the circumstances whether or not the revenue realised by the Inamdar was merely on account of the Toddy drawn from his groves but also on account of Sale of Toddy within the limits of his village.

The

The Deputy Commissioner is requested to call for such proof and record evidence and submit a finding with the record. The record should among other things show if any Toddy shops were established within the Inamdars' limits and if so in what years. In his petition of 1885 Karnik Tippayya says that shops were established at one time but afterwards ordered to be discontinued This should be ascertained and reported upon.

3. The Deputy Commissioner is requested to submit his reply to this reference as early as possible.

Sd. T. Anandarao.
Chief Secretary

To.
The Deputy Commr, Trenkur Distr
The Superintendent of Inam Settlement
in Mysore.

53

28

Draft
for
Mr Coleman's
Consideration
Mr
4/1

Read -

Govt Ro: dated 8th May 1889, No 1038-40 — 14 regarding the claim of the Jodi Kalkere village in the Kunigal Taluk for Toddy revenue.

2. Letter dated 19th June 1889, No 116, from the Dy Comr, Tumkur.

3. Letter dated 19th July 1889, No 11, from the Supdt. of Inam settls.

4. Petition dated 5th Decr 1889, No: 1914, from Kavnik Ippanja one of the Jodi dars of the village.

Ro 1175 1-2 dt 19-1-93
R.F 142-92 / 4 Order. / Kavnik Ippanja, one of the Jodi dars of the Kalkere village in the Kunigal Taluk claims compensation to the amount of Rs 450 from the late

Contractors Davy Mud-
dappa & Co, on account
of the Todey Revenue of
the Village for
the period of 3 years
from 1884-85 & 1886-87
inclusive, at the rate
of Rs 150 per annum,
as ordered by the Dy
Coll (Mr Krishna
murti) in 1887.

2. The important
question involved in
this case is whether
the pori village is entitled
to the Todey revenue
under the original
Sanad or the Inam
Settlement. In para 1
of the Govt. Ro: Dated
8th: May 1889, No: 1038-40/14,
the Dy Coll was re-
quested to report on
this question. He
refers to the Inam Settle-
ment which reserved
the Abkari revenue
for the State (vide
para 3, Col: 20, of
the Inam Register
of 1870-71), and
to-

an unauthenticated
Copy of an order dated
15 M. S. of Chaitra
of the year Rudhirodgar
(1803-4) from the Huzoo
of Huliyurdurga to the
Mahajans of the Kalkere
Agrahar, imposing an
additional Jodi of 2
Pagodas for the enjoy-
ment of the Jodi
revenue. The original
order has not been pro-
duced and the unauthe-
nticated copy is inad-
missible in evidence.
The validity of the Jodi
Dars right to the Jodi
revenue has not been
proved by the produc-
tion of trustworthy
documentary Eviden
But, if ~~there however~~
~~be presumed that the~~
~~the right has been in the~~
~~enjoyment of the Village~~
for a very long time
through unauthorized
~~Following these for~~ the
analogy of the Land
Revenue Rules as regards
enjoyment

the
Inamdar
has enjoyed
the right

without Sanction may be followed as
the right will 5th a settlement effected
a special case be as a special case
confined to the village accordingly - ~~Before~~
subject to the payment ~~for~~ the Limerick clear
of an additional proof of Enjoyment
quit rent equal to is required, and the
1/8th of the average Enjoyment should
annual toddy revenue. be at merely of a
If the Joidars consent rent from the Toddy
to this arrangement, Gross but also of
the Dy: Coll: will the Excise Revenue
in communication from Toddy sales
with the Supt: of Excise as will be apparent
settle: proceed to have from the Circe whether
the additional quit- or not the Revenue
rent imposed and realised by the Inamdar
to recover from the was merely on account
Contractor such Com- of the Toddy drawn from
pensation as may his Gardens but also on
be found account of sale of Toddy
to be fairly due to within the limits of
the village under his village - The Deputy
the circumstances Commissioner is ~~to~~
represented by the requested to call for
Contractor. such proof and record
Evidence and submit
a finding with the
Record. The record
should among other
things show an

Chief Secretary

Draft
To, The Dy Coll, Tumkur
2 The Supt: Excise Mysore

Encl - No Letter is referred to in the Dy Coll's letter of which
orders have been issued on the subject.

Established within the Seaman
Dan's limits 4 to 20 in what
years - In her petition
of 1885 Kamuth Shethaya
says that ships were
established at one time
but afterwards ordered
& he discontinued This shows
the ascertainment and reporting of the Dy Cmrs requested
by Govt about a ~~very~~ Each
~~Chance to this Command~~ this city within reasonable
as early as practicable

DM

Print 7/1/93

7/1/93

56 Draft

1892—93.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

REVENUE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Dated 9th January 1893.

No. 11751-2. / R. F. 142-92.

Calling for further information on the subject of the claim of Karnik Tippaiya and other Jodidars of the Kalkere village in the Kunigal Taluk for the Toddy revenue of the village.

57

(28)

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, (Revenue),—dated 9th January 1893.

READ:—

Government Proceedings, dated 8th May 1889, No. 1038-40—14, regarding the claim of th Jodi Kalkere village in the Kunigal Taluk for Toddy revenue.

2. Letter, dated 19th June 1889, No. 116, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur.

3. Letter, dated 19th July 1889, No 11, from the Superintendent of Inam Settlements.

4. Petition, dated 5th December 1889, No. 1914, from Karnik Tippaiya, one of the Jodida of the village.

No. 11751-2—R. F. 142-92, DATED, BANGALORE, 9TH JANUARY 1893.

ORDER THEREON.—Karnik Tippaiya, one of the Jodidars of Kalkere village in the Kunigal Taluk, claims compensation to the amount of Rs. 450 from the late contractors Davy, Muddappa and Co, on account of the Toddy revenue of the village for the period of 3 years from 1884-85 to 1886-87 inclusive, at the rate of Rs. 150 per annum, as ordered by the Deputy Commissioner (Mr. Krishnamurti,) in 1887.

2. The important question involved in this case is whether the Jodi village is entitled to the Toddy revenue under the original sannad or the Inam Settlement. In Para 1 of the Government Proceedings, dated 8th May, 1889, No. 1038-40-14, the Deputy Commissioner was requested to report on this question. He refers to the Inam Settlement which reserved the Abkari revenue for the State (*vide* Para 3, Col. 20 of the Inam Register of 1870-71), and to an unauthenticated copy of an order, dated 15 Moons increase of Chaitra of the year Rudhirodgari (1803-4), from the Amildar of Huliyurdurga to the Mahajans of the Kalkere Agrahar, imposing an additional Jodi of 2 Pagodas for the enjoyment of the Toddy revenue. The original order has not been produced and the unauthenticated copy is inadmissible in evidence. The validity of the Jodidars' right to the Toddy revenue has not been proved by the production of trustworthy documentary evidence. But, if the Inamdar has enjoyed the right for a very long time though unauthorizedly, the analogy of the Land Inam Rules as regards enjoyment without sannad may be followed and a settlement effected as a special case accordingly. For this, however, very clear proof of enjoyment is required, and the enjoyment should be not merely of a rent from the Toddy groves but also of the Excise Revenue from Toddy sales, as will be apparent from the circumstances whether or not the revenue realised by the Inamdar was merely on account of the Toddy drawn from his groves but also an account of sale of toddy within the limits of his village. The Deputy Commissioner is requested to call for such proof and record evidence and submit a finding with the record. The record should among other things show if any Toddy shops were established within the Inamdars' limits, and if so, in what years. In his petition of 1885, Karnik Tippaiya says that shops were established at one time but afterwards ordered to be discontinued. This should be ascertained and reported upon.

3. The Deputy Commissioner is requested to submit his reply to this reference as early as possible.

ANANDA ROW,
Chief Secretary.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.
The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore.

Typed 3 Spare copies
35 Copies for Press

58

(30)

(General Serial No. 30.)

985.

1893. 94 R 254

From The Dy: Commissioner
Tumkur District

To

The Chief Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Govt.
of Mysore.

SECTION Sep Rev

FILE No. 94 (887-88
15

SERIAL No.

Dated 22nd July 1893.

Despatched 23rd

Received

Enclosures No. "

General No.

No. 73.

Govt: Pro: No: 11751-2 of the
R. F. 142-92.
9th January 1893 & reminder
No: 996 of the 19th July 1893.
142-92 — " —

States that the information on the subject of the claim of Karnik Thippayya and other Jodidars of the Kalkere Village, Kunigal Taluk, will be submitted, as soon as the said Thippayya who is seriously ill, recovers.

The same No 2317
of 142-92 4/8

Rev. Mrn
24/July. 93

M. G. C. P: Bangalore.—27-1-93.—5,000.

Read 24/7.

Submitted draft
R.D. 28/7

59

No: 73. Tumkur District (30)

Deputy Commissioner's Office

Tumkur 22nd July 1893.

To,

The Chief Secretary to the

Government of Mysore

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No: 1751-2 of the 9th January 1893 and reminder Docket No. 996 of the 19th instant calling for further information on the subject of the claim of Karnik Thippaiyya and other Jodidars of the Kalkéré Village in the Kunigal Taluk for the Toddy Revenue of the Village, I have the honor to state that the Amildar of Kunigal, who was asked to report on the matter, states that as the Jodidar Thippaiyya, who is living at Nagadi in the Bangalore District, is seriously ill he has not been able to give the information called for. As soon as Thippaiyya

R. F. 142-92

142-92

Shippaiyya receives the needful
enquiry will be made and the
detailed report called for, submitted.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

W. Venkatarangiya

Deputy Commissioner

Draft for Copy

(31)

The Deputy Comr

Tumkur Distt

Dy 4/7 Augt 93

No 2317 / R 7.142-92 Revu

SECTION Sepoy
FILE No. 9 of 1887-88
SERIAL No. 16

His letter No 73 Dy 22nd July 93 reporting that ~~the~~ further information on the subject of the claim of Karnik Tippaiya & other Jodidars of the Kalkere Village, Kunigal Taluk will be submitted as soon as the said Tippaiya who is seriously ill, recover

In reply, I have the honor to request that the Deputy Comr will

62

Submit the required information as early as possible. at an early date. By order

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

Mark 4/8
for Chief Secy
H H 3/8/93

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

No.

Subd.
Karnik Appayya died a few days ago. He was one of the 4 Jodidars of the 3/8/93 village.

References to be marked.

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore.—9-1-93.—3,000.

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

From The Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District (32)

To The Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore

SECTION Sep Rev
FILE No. 9 of 1887-88.
SERIAL No. 17

Dated 25th November 1893.
Despatched do
Received

Enclosures No. File of Vel: papers

General No. No. 440

Chief Secretary's docket No: 2317 RJ 162-93 of the 4th August last. —

Submitting further report on the subject of the claim of Kariah Thippiah and other jodidars of the Kalkere village in the Kunigal Taluk for the today revenue of the village. —

RD
30/11
Submitted with draft.
RB 15/12/93

To The same No 12323 dy 26/11/94 RJ 1429 2

Recd 28/11

94

Deputy Commissioner's office

No. 460 Tumkur District

Dated Chiknayakanhalli

25th November 1893. —

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government

of Mysore. —

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your docket No: 2317/R7142-92 of the 4th August 1893 calling for further information on the subject of the claim of Karnika Thippiah and other Jodidars of the Kalkere village in the Kunigal Taluk for the Zoddy Revenue of the village, I have the honor to submit as follows. —

2. In the deposition marked A and submitted herewith, Karnika Thippiah lays claim to the Zoddy Revenue of the village under the original Sanad. But the original Sanad

is not forthcoming at present. - He has, however, in support of the above claim produced an order dated the 15th moon's increase of Chaitra of the year Rudhirodgari (1803-4) from the Amildar of Suliyurdroog. - It imposes on the Inamdars an additional Jodi of 2 Pagodas for the enjoyment of the toddy revenue. An authenticated copy of this order (marked B) is submitted herewith. From its tenor it may be presumed that no special mention of toddy revenue was made in the original Sannad, and that it merely granted the village on Jodi tenure and permitted in general terms, like all other Sannads of the period, the enjoyment of every variety of produce except Sandal. The Jodidars having on this extended their claim to the toddy revenue also, the local authorities appear to have conceded to it adding an additional Jodi therefor. During the Inam Settlement the Inam Department seems to have recognized

this claim on the strength of document B. -

3. That the Jodidars from the commencement of the Grant had toddy shops in the village and enjoyed the toddy revenue may also be inferred from the mention of "Sendikkhana" in the Order B referred to above. Further, the authenticated copies of Muchilkas marked C and D and submitted herewith prove both the existence of toddy shops in the village as well as the Inamdar's enjoyment of the revenue therefrom in the year 1862. —

4. According to the entries made in the "Z" and "Four" Registers of the Kunigal Taluk Cutchery extracts from which are embodied in the Kunigal Amildar's report (marked F) No: 938 of the 14th March 1893 (incorporated with the record) the toddy shops of the village appear to have been abolished in the year 1870 on the complaint of the district Toddy Contractors.

5.– Under the above circumstances I am of opinion that the Zemindars in question were from the very beginning of their tenure, in the enjoyment of the toddy revenue of their village till interdicted in 1870.–

6 The vernacular record is herewith submitted.–

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

W. Kincaid
Deputy Commissioner

68

(33)

From Dy Comr
Tumkur Distt
Dy 26/30 January 94.
No 12323 Rev V.P.
R.T. 142-92

SECTION Sep Rev
FILE NO. 8 of 1887-88
SER'AL NO. 18

His letter no 440 Dy 25th Nov 93
submitting further report
on the subject of the claim of
Karink Pippiah & other
Jodidars of the Kalkere Village
for the Toddy revenue of the
Village.

In reply, has the Honor
to request to be informed
how he would reconcile
this "Statement" During
the Inam Settlement, the
Inam Dy Supt seems to have
recognized this Claim on
the strength of documents

Vide para 2.

with that made in the Inam Register of 1870-71 para 3, col 20, to the effect that the Inam Settlement reserved the abkari Revenue of this Village to the State. The Vernacular records are herewith returned for information & resubmission through the Excise Comm.r

B.O

24/1

for Chief Secy

22/1/92

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

From

To

~~The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore~~

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

No.

References to be marked.

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore.—30-6-92—300

Gen. Serial No. 30 70

189

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
4578
5 OCT. 94
R 1274

From

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 2nd
Despatched 4 } October 1894
Received

Enclosures No. (1 + 2 Encl)

General No. 1607
No. 162

~~*In reply to*~~

SECTION Sep Rev
FILE No. 90 of 1887-88
SERIAL No. 19

SUBJECT.

Forwards copy of communication from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, together with the original record of enquiry therewith received, in regard to the Toddy Revenue of Godi Halkere village, Kunigal Taluk.

Rev Br 5/10

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Records sent back for investigation with reference to Govt: Proc^s Nos: 2074-83 R.T. 166-92 & 2/8/01

No. 1607
162 Cire 1+2 file

Excise Commissioner's office
Bangalore 2nd October 1894.

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Sir,

In forwarding, herewith, copy of docket No 581, dated 27/28th September 1894, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, together with the original record of enquiry therewith received in regard to the Toddy Revenue of Jodi Kalkere village in the Huliyur taluk, I have the honor to state that I agree with the Deputy Commissioner that the Jodidar is entitled to Toddy Revenue. No Toddy shop exists in the village. The right of drawing toddy from the date trees in this village in 1892-93 and 1893-94 was sold for Rupees 191-4-0 and Rs 159-6-0 respectively. Deducting the proportionate cost of establishment as per undermentioned details, the differences payable to the Jodidar are Rs 187-10-9 and Rs 155-8-5, for 1892-93 and 1893-94 respectively:-

Toddy

	Cost of establishment of the Kunigal Taluk	Toddy Revenue of the Inam village of Kalkere	Proportionate cost of establishment debitable to the Inam village of Kalkere.
Toddy Revenue of the Kunigal Taluk			
1892-93	Rs 23,106-3-0 :	Rs 432-0-0 :: Rs 191-4-0 :	Rs 3-9-3
1893-94	Rs 27063-13-10 :	Rs 540-0-0 :: Rs 159-6-0 :	Rs 3-2-10

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Bartlett
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

N. S.

1894. 73

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To

The Excise Commissioner,
Mysore.

Dated 27/28th September 1894.

No. Mis: 58, Enc: 2 File

His docket No. 1410/46, of the 21st instant requesting the forwardal of the Statement and the record of enquiry connected with the settlement of the claim to Toddy revenue of the Jodi village of Kalkere in the Kunigal Taluk.

Has the honor to forward, herewith, the necessary statement and the vernacular record called for.

(Sd) B. K. Venkatanarsingar
Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

Copy

Illegible

Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

74

Rev: file No 142 of 1892.

Reference Section.

List of papers.

Serial No	Reference No	Reference Date	Subject
1.			Copy of Inamti accounts relating to Kalkere
2.			Translation of the above.
3			Translation of a Yaheed issued from the late Huliyurdurg Taluk Kutcherry to the Jodidars of Kalkere"
4	3697-30	8.10.73	Chief Commrs Procs directing the management of Inamdars Toddy by Government & rents accounted for to the Inamdars.
5	12917-363	20.3.74	Chief Commrs Procs passing orders on the claim of the Sivanasere Jodidar to Toddy revenue
6	1019-29 cir 4	12.4.92	~~Govt~~ Procs. issuing instructions for carrying into effect the new Scheme for the administration of the Toddy Revenue
7	—	1887	Extract from the Devanas Notes of Inspection in the Conservancy Tour in the Bangalore & Kolar Distts in 1887

Extract from Notes by the Dewan of his inspection of the Kolar Dist: ~~1887~~ and Nandidroog Taluk & Bangalore Do" July 1887. 75

7

26 July 1887.

4. Some Inamdars claimed a payment on account of toddy revenue, in cases in which their toddy trees are not used by the Government Contractors. I explained to them that such a claim was unreasonable and that they were at liberty to use the toddy of their trees for manufacture of Jaggary as permitted by the Excise Act, but that the Contractor could not be required to pay for trees which he had no occasion to use. This point must be fully explained by a Circular as much misapprehension appears to exist in all Malnad Districts.

7b (6)

1892.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

REVENUE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Dated 12th April 1892.

No. 1019-29.
Cir. 4.

Issuing instructions for carrying into effect the new scheme for the administration of the Toddy Revenue.

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—21-4-92.—250.

Proceedings of the Govt: of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore

Extract from 1019-29 Ai 4 of Bangalore 12th April 1892.

× × × × ×

4 × . × × The total revenue of Rs 14,02,887-4-7 shown in the foregoing statement, is inclusive of the Toddy Revenue of Inam villages, which amount to Rs 38,323-5-6 for shops, and Rs 19,352-14-3 for groves. Total Rs 57,676-3-9. The net revenue to Govt: is thus Rs 13,45,211-11-10.

5. The detailed settlement made under the new scheme led necessarily to the investigation of the value of the Toddy Revenue of Inam villages. The results which have been obtained will enable the Govt: to dispose, in the most satisfactory manner possible, of the claims of Inamdars entitled to the Toddy Revenue under their Sanads, and in the meantime, there will be adopted the system of indicating in the accounts the Toddy Revenue of Inamdars separate and distinct from the Govt: Revenue. The maximum amount which the Inamdars can possibly claim is Rs 57,676-3-9, as shown above, but it is liable to be reduced upon an investigation of Inamdars claims, some of which may have to be rejected as not supported by Sanads or recognized long enjoyment. The Deputy Commrs should now very carefully inquire into these claims and submit a full report in each case for the orders of Govt:

Referred to in Resp no 1038-40-14 8/5/09.
para 5. 78 (5)

Proceedings of the Chief Commissioner of Mysore, Department of Revenue, Agriculture and Commerce, 20th March 1877.

READ—

Printed petition No. 1559, dated 18th August 1876, from Krishnappa, Jodidar of Sivangere village in the Maddagiri Taluk.
Docket No. 183, dated 6th October 1876, to the Superintendent of Inam Settlements.
Docket No. 74, dated 14th October 1876, from do.
Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No. 8339—248, dated 16th November 1876, to do.
Letter No. 93, dated 13th December 1876, from do.
Docket No. 10003—286, dated 6th Jan. 1877, to do.
Letter No. 102, dated 23rd January 1877, from do.

READ again—

Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No. 3697—30, dated 8th October 1873.

No. 12917—REV. 363, DATED BANGALORE, 20TH MARCH 1877.

ORDER THEREON.—The Chief Commissioner has given his careful consideration to the claims advanced by the petitioner Krishnappa for the toddy collections of his jodi village of Sivangere in the Maddagiri Taluk.

2. In regard to the question whether, under the terms of the original sannad, the jodidar is entitled to claim the privilege of collecting the toddy revenue, Mr. Saunders considers that the term "fruit and flower trees" used in the sannad, which is more than 350 years old, is vague, and cannot be construed as conclusively establishing a right to the privilege now claimed. The original sannad under which the village was granted on sarvamanyam tenure has been rendered inoperative by the village having been subsequently subjected to jodi by Divan Púrnaiya, and the jodidar cannot, therefore, fall back upon the original sannad as supporting his present claim.

3. It appears, however, that the Inam Superintendent granted the date trees to the inamdar, but reserved the abkari right to Government, evidently considering the toddy revenue as distinct from abkari. The question for determination is, whether, under the provisions of Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No. 3697—30, dated 8th October 1873, the jodidar's claim is admissible, and if so, to what extent. Para 2 of the order provides that, in the case of jodidars who are expressly authorized in their sannads to levy sayer and abkari, the payment of the revenue, minus cost of collection, should be continued. In para 3, it is laid down that, if a jodidar collects revenue unauthorizedly, it should be resumed except in the following cases:—

(*a*). Where a jodidar, notwithstanding the absence of authority, has enjoyed the collections since 1846.

(*b*). Where the dues have been enjoyed for upwards of 30 years.

4. In case (*a*) the payment is to be continued only for a limited period, which should ordinarily be the life of the present recipient.

5. In case (*b*) one half the payments might be continued to the second life also.

6. The case of the jodidar of Sivangere is, in the Chief Commissioner's opinion, one falling under clause (*b*) above, and the Commissioner is requested to dispose of the petitioner's claim in accordance with its provisions. An endorsement has been granted to the petitioner to this effect.

7. Para 5 of the said Proceedings refers merely to those cases in which the privilege of *vending* toddy from trees situated in private villages has been resumed by Government. In such cases the holders are at perfect liberty to lease them out to the Government contractor or utilize them in any way, except by vending liquor without a license from Government. Para 5 should be read with paras 2 and 3, and not as a distinct provision unconnected with cases (*a*) and (*b*).

T. CLARKE,
Offg. Secretary.

The Superintendent of Inam Settlement
Commissioner, Nundydroog Division, with reference to his No. 81, dated 2nd July 1874.
Commissioners of other Divisions.

352

1877.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

REVENUE.

THE SUPERINTENDENT OF INAM SETTLEMENTS.
COMMISSIONER, NUNDYDROOG DIVISION.

Dated March 20*th*.

Despatched

Received Regd 27 1877

No. 12917.
Rev. 363.

Claim by the Jodidar of Sivangere to the toddy collections of his village.—Passing orders on the above.

Procs of the Govt of Mysore (4)

No. 3697-30 & 8 Dated 18/3

alluded to in para 4 of Govt Procs No 1038-40-14 18/3

From the Offg. Commissioner of the Ashtagram Division. To the Offg. Secretary to the Chief Commissioner of Mysore General Department, No. 6-R 2, dated Mysore, 7th April 1873.

In reply to your docket No. 4740-R48 of the 19th November 1872, I have the honor to state for the information of the Chief Commissioner that the amount shewn under the head of "Sayer" in the statement therein alluded to is the gross revenue collected by the inamdars themselves.

2. With reference to para 2, I beg to state that none of the Kayam-guttadars in the Mysore and Hassan Districts keep retail shops, and consequently receive no license fees under the rules contained in your printed Circular No. 1183-25 of the 27th June last 1866.

3. × Copies of the letters from the Deputy Commissioners of Mysore and

× No. 1325, dated 27th March 1873 from Mysore District. No. 1209 dated 21st December 1872 from Hassan District

and Hassan on the subject are enclosed.

From the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District, To the Offg. Commissioner Ashtagram Division No. 1325 dated 6th March 1873.

Commissioner's Office circular letter No. 396 of the 4th December 1872, forwarding Secretary's docket No. 4740-48 of the 19th November 1872, calling for further information anent the Kayamgutta villages mentioned in the statement submitted with this Office letter No. 637 of the 23rd September 1872.

With reference to the above has the honor to state that the amount shewn under the head "Sayer" in the statement is the gross revenue, and that the revenue is collected by the Kayamgutta dars themselves.

2. There are no Kayamgutta villages in this District coming under the operation of Circular No. 1183-125 mentioned in para 2. of the Secretary's docket

docket above referred to. The amoun
shewn under this head in the
Statement is the income derived
by renting the date trees to the
Government Abkari contractor

From the Deputy Superin-
-tendent of the Hossan Dis-
trict, To the Offg. Superinten-
-dent of the Ashtagram Division
No. 1209 dated Camp Attikuppa
21st December 1872.

In reply to the queries put
in letter No. 4740-48 of the 19th
ultimo from the Secretary to the
Chief Commissioner, received
under cover of your circular
letter No. 396 of the 7th instant,
I have the honor to submit that
the sum of Rs. 461-15-8 shewn in the
Statement transmitted with
this office No. 891 of the 5th Octo
-ber 1872 is the gross sayer revenue
from which no deduction has
been made on account of es-
-tablishment.

2. The Kayangiuttadars them
-selves receive the sayer duty, the
procedure followed being that
whenever articles subject to saye
are exported, they number or
weigh

weigh them, and levy the duty thereupon themselves, granting a chit on the nearest Shekdar who issues a pass to the trader charging him the usual fee (varying from 1 to 4 pies) for the paper.

3. With regard to the last para, I beg to state that arrack is not sold or distilled in any of the Kayamgutta villages of this District.

No 3697 – Rev: 30 Dated Bangalore 8th October 1873.

Order thereon:—

The foregoing correspondence relates to the resumption of the sayer and abkari revenue in Kayamgutta villages. The Chief Commissioner has carefully considered the subject in connection with inam as well as Kayamgutta villages, and is decidedly of opinion that in both the system of collecting the revenue by Government agency, and paying the residue to the holders after deducting a proportionate share of the cost of collection,

should

should be continued in preference to deducting the amount of compensation from the gutta or jodi. The Chief Commissioner therefore resolves upon authorizing District officers to assume the management of the collections of sayer and abkari revenue in inam and kayamgutta villages in which the collections have hitherto been made by the holders and lays down the following direction for guidance.

2. In the case of guttadars or jodidars who are expressly authorized in their sanads to levy sayer and abkari where these, as well as of estates' receipts were included in the assets upon which gutta or jodi was fixed, the payment of the amount realized minus the cost of collection, should be continued as long as the said dues are collected by Government on which point a certificate should be furnished to each such guttadar or jodidar.

3. Where a guttadar or jodidar collects this revenue, unauthorizedly, that is to say, without the authority referred in the preceding para

para, it should ordinarily be unconditionally resumed and the collection put a stop to. An exception to this rule may be allowed in cases in which a Guttadar or Jodidar notwithstanding the absence of any authority has enjoyed the collections under these heads ever since the abolition of izara or farming system in 1846. In such cases the payments should have a currency of only a limited period, which should ordinarily be the life of the present recipient. In exceptional cases, i.e. where the dues have been enjoyed for upwords of 30 years, Colonel Meade is pleased to authorize the payment of half the receipts to the 2nd life also. The head member of the family or the registered holder in such cases will be considered as the present recipient for purposes of settlement under these terms. Where an inam village has been alienated to a community, the usual share of the collections will continue to be enjoyed by it for 20 years and half for ten years more. But no claim should under any circumstances be

be admitted where the claimant is not in the enjoyment of the dues or compensation in lieu of them at the period of settlement.

4. Claims to compensation for the loss of the privilege of distilling arrack, which were allowed to lie dormant in 1866 when the Sadar Distillery system was introduced cannot now be revived, and where the guttadar or joodidar has been hitherto paid a fixed sum on this account, no increase of this sum should be permitted, any increased receipts resulting from improved management being duly carried to the credit of Government.

5. No compensation is necessary under existing orders for resuming the privilege of vending toddy from trees situated in private villages, as their holders are at perfect liberty to lease them out to the Government contractor, or utilize them in any way except by re-vending liquor without a license from Government. The practice reported to obtain in the Atchan manahalli Kayamgutta village in the Chitaldroog District,

under

under which the guttadar levies fees from a certain individual in consideration of permission given to the latter to keep a shop for the vend of liquor from Her Majesty's Territories, is in direct contravention of the Abkari Rules, and steps will at once be taken for its prohibition.

6. The orders conveyed above do not affect the right which may hereafter be exercised by Government of resuming the receipts from sayer in inam and kayamgutta villages, should the sayer revenue be in part or in whole abolished at any future time.

87 (3)

From

Mr Venkatakrishnaya
Subedar,
Huliyurdurg Taluk.

To

The Vedamurti Mahajanavalu
of the Kalkere Agrahar

In continuation of this
Office Tahkid issued as per
Huzur orders fixing the
amount of Jodi payable
to Government by the 15
Brahman house owners
of the Kalkere village at
60 pagodas and ½ fanam,
it is hereby directed in
pursuance of Huzur orders
that were issued in consequence
of the news of the oppression
in the village on account
of Toddy Kanike reaching the Huzur, that the
Jodi amount has been in-
-creased by two pagodas
and fourand a half fanams
(2 pagodas for the privilege
granted to you of enjoying

the income from Tody and
4 1/2 fanams for the Baja-
bale right) You, the 15
Brahman housekeepers,
shall, enjoying all the
profits accruing from Tody
within the limits of the
village, pay to Govt
every year the sum of
52 1/2 pagodas and obtain-
ing a receipt therefor.

Dated Rudhirodgari. (the month
and date is not ascertain-
able as the portion of the
paper which it was written, is
torn).

"Translation.

DS.

89 (2)

Kalkere Agrahar.

Hamlets . . 6.
Small tanks. 3.
Anikut . 1.

16 Vrittis granted to ~~Krishna Bhatta & Ranjechary~~

Kalayukti Gross Valuation of the 16 Vrittis - Pagodas 69 - Fanams 7½

1798-99 Net revenue due to Govt. P. 61 - F. 5
Jari Inamti . 8 - 2½.
Total 69 - 7½.

Increase in Valuation since - - 27 - 9

Inam in Siddharthi. P. 1 - F. 1.
Ravudri . . " - "
Net increase to Govt 25 - 8
Mayadi Pasture land 1 - "
Total 27 - 9.

Total Gross valuation of the 16 Vrittis " 97 - 6½.

Deduct from the gross valuation the follg.

1799-1800 Siddharthi Jari Inamti - P. 9 - F. 3½
1801-2 Durmati - Levy on Toddy income } 2 - "
Reduction in favor of Brahmans as per Sanad dated in first half of Chitra of the 1802-3 Dundubhi } 25 - 8

Total 37 - 1½ - 37 - 1½.

Net revenue due to Govt - Pagodas 60 - 5.

The original account is in Maharashtra - Mar

Seenappa says that the account bears neither any signature nor any seal. The Kanarese reading of it in his hand. Hereof the above is a translation, is hereto attached. He says that no account for Rudhirodgari in which year the Jodi amount payable by the Brahmans was increased by 2 pagodas on the ground of the grant to them of the right to enjoy the income from toddy & the bab, are forthcoming.

1886.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

REVENUE

PROCEEDINGS.

Dated 18th November 1886.

Camp No. 1212.
20.

Instructions relative to the mode of recovering the amount to be paid to Inamdars the Toddy contractors of Inam villages.

(5)

Proceedings of the Dewan to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, (Revenue),—dated November 1886.

HAD THE FOLLOWING PAPERS :—

Letter No. 216, dated 24th June 1886, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, soliciting instructions as to how the amount to be paid to inamdars by toddy contractors of inam villages is to be recovered.

Docket No. 386, dated 31st August 1886, from the same, requesting that early orders be passed in the matter.

CAMP No. 1212—20, DATED 18TH NOVEMBER 1886.

ORDER THEREON.—An award made by the Deputy Commissioner in a matter like the one under reference must be deemed an award in a revenue decree and the amount should be recovered by ordinary processes for the recovery of arrears of land revenue. This follows from Condition V of the Notification under which the toddy contract was granted.

R. VIJAYINDRA RAO,
Chief Secretary.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.
The Deputy Commissioners of other Districts.
Messrs. Davy, Muddappa & Co.
Messrs. N. Papanna & Co.